

THE FINANCIAL SUSTAINABILITY OF PRIMARY GRAPE PRODUCERS IMPACTED NEGATIVELY BY THE INITIATION OF THE DROUGHT CONDITIONS, WITH POSITIVE SHORT TERM PROSPECT AS WORLD WINE SUPPLY TIGHTEN.

ACCORDING TO THE LATEST VINPRO PRODUCTION PLAN SURVEY, PRIMARY WINE GRAPE PRODUCERS' ARE STILL UNDER FINANCIAL PRESSURE AS THE DROUGHT SPREADS AND PRODUCTION COST INFLATION GRIPS FIRMLY ON ALREADY DWINDLING MARGINS. **BY ANDRIES VAN ZYL & PIETER VAN NIEKERK**



National Agricultural Marketing Council



In 2017 the Vinpro Production Plan survey was conducted for the 14th consecutive year in the wine industry. The findings show that although the average producer are still not on sustainable income levels, the most profitable producers are gaining margin in all 10 producing regions, however the unprofitable bottom third are increasing for the third consecutive year, a further increase is expected if the current drought conditions prolong.

With tightening global wine supply there is an opportunity for Brand SA to re-position itself in the market, ensuring a much needed structural correction along the wine value chain.

INTRODUCTION

In 2017 Vinpro Agricultural Economics conducted a comprehensive analysis across all 10 wine districts. The project is financially supported by Winetech, the National Agricultural Marketing Council (NAMC), Standard Bank, Absa, Land Bank, FNB and Nedbank, this ensures a free of charge financial management analysis for any primary wine grape producer. The primary objective is to provide an on-farm financial analysis of each participant's farming unit, benchmarking it with the regional average, breaking down the report in: the production structure, cost structure and profitability per enterprise and cultivar.

Altogether 249 (an 6% increase year on year) farming units from all 10 wine districts participated in the 2017 Production Plan survey. In 2017 the sample consisted of 22 550 ha (24% of the total South African area planted to wine grapes in 2016), producing 370 022 tons (26% of the total South African crop in 2017). The sample consisted of 65% white- and 35% were red wine grapes, of the total 63% was harvested mechanically, a slight decrease year on year (YoY), with the smaller harvest directly impacting towards this trend.

The analysis applies to overall grapevine production (bearing, as well as non-bearing hectares) and the cost analysis makes no distinction between cultivars and specific blocks. Regarding income, distinction is made between different wine grape cultivars. The greater majority of participants are diversified and varies with regard to production size. The report represents industry average figures, calculated by determining the weighted average of all participants. The Swartland district is always evaluated separately, as this study group cultivates a large component of its vineyards dry land (without irrigation) and/or with only supplementary irrigation. This requires an alternative production, cost and capital structure.

THE 2017 HARVEST

The South African wine grape harvest was slightly larger following a dry

season and consumers can look forward to exceptional wines from the 2017 vintage. The total harvest was at 1 434 328 tonnes, 2.1% larger YoY and was initially expected to be smaller. "A decrease was expected due to the second consecutive very dry, hot season. However, cooler nights throughout the growing season and the absence of significant heatwaves during harvest time buffered the effect of the drought to some extent," says Francois Viljoen, manager of Vinpro's Viticulture Consultation Service.

The Swartland and Paarl regions obtained much larger crops following sharp declines in 2016. Robertson's production was close to the record harvest, while Olifants River and Breedekloof increased somewhat following small crops during the previous year. Slightly smaller yields were noted in the Northern Cape, Stellenbosch and Worcester and a much smaller harvest in the Klein Karoo.

The total vintage – juice and concentrate for non-alcoholic purposes, wine for brandy and distilling wine included – is expected to amount to 1 112 million litres, calculated at an average recovery of 775 litres per ton of grapes.

THE COST OF WINE GRAPE PRODUCTION

The annual financial capacity needed in preparation for the 2017 vintage comprised of cash items and provision for renewal, excluding all tax, interest and entrepeneurial obligations. In comparison to the 2016 the industry average total production cost (excluding dry land vineyards – Swartland) increased by 7% to R47 513/ha YoY. As the biggest trend driving unsustainable income the last decade, primary grape producers absorbed a doubling of production cost, for the period from 2008 to 2017.

CASH EXPENDITURE

Cash expenditure is specified as direct cost, labour, mechanisation, fixed improvements and general expenses. Total cash expenditure had an above inflation increase to

R36 554/ha in the 2017 production year.

The increase is driven mainly by the direct costs – fertilisers, pesticides and herbicides, with a 13% increase year on year. This can be attributed to the weakening of the rand during this period, due to the fact that many of the direct inputs for vine cultivation are imported. Secondly the 9% year on year increase in administration cost, is concerning as primary producers have limited influence in these cost items. In many instances high capital outlays are needed to negate a cost saving component.

The cost component differs among the 10 production regions areas due to terroir and production practice differences. Precision cost management, with a balance between consumer demand and input requirement for each block, aligned with product quality, remains critical in cycles of above-inflationary increases in costs. Once again wine grape production cost inflation was higher than the average South African economy inflation.

PROVISION FOR RENEWAL

Annual production cost is not only limited to cash expenditure: capital items are also depleted over time, with the renewal of such items deemed critical to ensure long term sustainable production. By calculating relevant replacement values of tractors, tools, other means of production, vineyards and buildings, a realistic and practical non cash flow provision is indicated. By using the principle 'provision for renewal', a larger amount is recovered than in the case of 'depreciation'. To a certain extent this addresses the problem of linear depreciation in value for tax purposes.

When calculating provision for renewal, capital items are written off over different periods at renewal value:

Fixed improvements (excluding the main dwelling) – 60 years

Vineyards and other long term crops – 20 years

Moveable assets/production means – 7 - 15 years



MECHANICALLY HARVESTED





TOTAL PRODUCTION COST

FIGURE 2. Total industry average production cost.



FIGURE 3. Movement of direct cost - industry average.

TABLE 1. Production cost of wine grapes per district – 2017 harvest.

Industry average	Stellenbosch	Paarl	Robertson	Breedekloof		
COST STRUCTURE		RAND PER HA				
DIRECT COST						
SEED	226	144	56	101		
FERTILISER	1 004	1 440	3 136	2 354		
ORGANIC MATERIAL	60	24	125	1 066		
PESTICIDE CONTROL	3 223	2 144	3 468	3 089		
HERBICIDE CONTROL	1 124	807	1 242	949		
REPAIR AND BINDING MATERIAL	562	316	601	463		
Subtotal	6 198	4 875	8 629	8 022		
LABOUR						
SUPERVISION	2 515	1 225	1 955	2 992		
PERMANENT LABOUR	11 898	8 713	7 426	9 244		
SEASONAL LABOUR AND CONTRACT WORK	5 246	4 289	4 081	1 398		
Subtotal	19 659	14 227	13 462	13 634		
MECHANISATION						
FUEL	2 216	1 829	2 332	2 320		
REPAIR, PARTS AND MAINTENANCE	3 687	2 309	4 335	2 970		
LISENCES AND INSURANCE	624	650	558	778		
TRANSPORT HIRED	271	522	790	182		
Subtotal	6 797	<u>5 310</u>	8 015	6 250		
FIXED IMPROVEMENTS						
REPAIR AND MAINTENANCE	1 637	523	808	1 217		
INSURANCE	386	345	192	374		
Subtotal	2 023	867	1 000	1 590		
GENERAL EXPENDITURE						
ELECTRICITY	2 036	2 057	3 943	3 837		
WATER COSTS	912	983	1 046	292		
LAND-, PROPERTY- AND MUNICIPAL TAXES	413	474	424	212		
ADMINISTRATION	2 898	1 184	1 448	1 214		
Subtotal	6 258	4 698	6 862	5 555		
TOTAL CASH EXPENDITURE	40 935	29 977	37 968	35 051		
PROVISION FOR RENEWAL	10 477	9 438	10 969	10 962		
VINEYARDS	5 973	6 111	5 933	6 233		
FIXED IMPROVEMENTS	1 165	788	975	992		
LOOSE ASSETS OR PRODUCTION MEANS	3 340	2 539	4 061	3 737		
TOTAL EXPENDITURE	51 411	39 415	48 937	46 013		
				·		
AVERAGE AREA PLANTED (HA)	105	101	99	121		
AREA IRRIGATED (%)	90%	90%	100%	100%		
AVERAGE AGE COMPOSITION (%)				1		
3 YEARS AND YOUNGER	6.71	9.45	14.76	11.11		
BETWEEN 4 AND 7 YEARS	8.70	14.42	19.16	17.81		
BETWEEN 8 AND 15 YEARS	32.65	38.57	34.04	30.71		
BETWEEN 16 AND 20 YEARS	27.10	26.37	20.28	20.28		
OLDER THAN 20 YEARS	24.38	11.12	11.79	20.08		
AVERAGE YIELD (TON PER HA)	8.78	11.07	21.61	20.45		
CASH EXPENDITUBE (BAND PER TON)	4 662	2 708	_1 757	1 714		
		2 564	_2.265	2.250		
TOTAL EXPENDITURE (RAND PER TON)	5 856	3 561	2 265	2 250		

Olifants River	Worcester	Orange River	Klein Karoo	Cape South Coast	Durbanville	Industry Average	Swartland				
RAND PER HA											
10	158	62	97	299	449	123	203				
2 643	2 896	2 924	2 142	913	1 376	2 116	1 229				
609	286	113	360	701	-	337	44				
2 120	2 600	1 649	2 100	4 855	2 679	2 795	2 207				
460	1 147	683	363	871	2 038	925	691				
229	919	321	294	112	448	461	123				
6 071	8 006	5 752	5 355	7 750	6 990	6 757	4 497				
1 795	1 992	2 317	703	2 659	826	2 074	1 061				
8 361	9 604	10 635	7 640	10 045	12 559	9 321	5 416				
1 354	1 677	8 720	422	8 902	7 101	3 686	4 185				
11 511	13 273	21 672	8 766	21 606	20 485	15 081	10 662				
3 502	2 378	3 303	2 673	4 192	3 510	2 482	1 778				
4 012	2 783	2 644	3 532	3 921	3 694	3 340	1 909				
1 155	737	1 001	627	1 330	1 064	758	543				
471	357	288	119	902	-	434	1 072				
9 140	6 255	7 236	6 951	10 345	8 268	7 014	5 303				
436	851	1 203	624	1 207	685	959	683				
490	367	330	242	213	232	344	283				
926	1 217	1 533	866	1 420	917	1 303	966				
						-					
3 917	3 680	2 418	2 303	2 749	2 038	3 034	831				
2 719	1 750	1 339	2 264	287	1 837	1 178	589				
499	291	281	183	329	684	379	144				
1 984	1 355	2 207	1 215	4 164	4 404	1 809	708				
9 119	7 076	6 244	5 966	7 529	8 964	6 400	2 272				
36 767	35 828	42 437	27 904	48 651	45 624	36 554	23 700				
12 711	11 587	10 319	11 088	15 200	11 648	10 959	8 678				
5 719	6 265	6 214	6 254	6 178	5 733	6 052	5 435				
1 318	1 714	678	718	2 858	795	1 116	741				
5 674	3 609	3 427	4 115	6 163	5 1 1 9	3 791	2 503				
49 477	47 414	52 755	38 991	63 850	57 272	47 513	32 378				
64	87	22	55	34	119	91	161				
100%	100%	100%	100%	89%	60%	96%	40%				
							0				
9.08	17.03	22.44	19.37	4.17	6.17	11.42	6.51				
13.91	19.73	9.89	19.51	3.92	9.59	14.58	7.42				
32.40	33.84	26.09	33.90	61.26	34.67	34.34	40.25				
23.71	17.60	23.66	19.09	29.96	28.54	23.32	28.25				
22.09	11.79	18.32	8.93	0.70	21.03	16.44	16.37				
26.98	21.25	28.73	21.64	8.47	8.96	17.68	7.18				
1 363	1 686	1 477	1 289	5 744	5 092	2 067	3 301				
1 834	2 231	1 836	1 802	7 538	6 392	2 687	4 509				



LABOUR COST

FIGURE 4. Movement of labour cost - industry average.

MECHANISATION COST







GENERAL EXPENDITURE

FIGURE 6. Movement of general expenditure - industry average.



COMPOSITION OF ANNUAL CASH EXPENDITURE

FIGURE 7. Percentage composition of annual cash expenditure - industry average.



AREA UNDER VINES PER PARTICIPANTS

FIGURE 8. Hectares planted to grapevines per participant (bearing and non-bearing hectares) - industry average.



TON / HA

FIGURE 9. Average yield (bearing and non-bearing hectares) - industry average.

Total provision for renewal amounted to R10 959/ha in the 2017 production year – a 6% increase from 2016. It is positive to see that participants still have the means to replace capital items, however the ageing vineyard status is concerning, an indication of how rapidly producers are diversifying away from wine grape production towards more profitable agricultural crops.

More than 16% of the plantings are older than 20 years and 11% of the grapevines in the survey are three years

and younger. The general norm is that 15% of grapevines should be three years and younger and the component older than 20 years should not be more than 15%.

PRODUCTION STRUCTURE

The average surface planted to wine grapes was 91 ha – the other enterprises are not taken into account. Economies of scale have been impacting on many agriculture commodities, depending on where producers



AVERAGE YIELDS: WHITE CULTIVARS

FIGURE 10. Average yield white (bearing and non-bearing hectares) - industry average.



AVERAGE YIELDS: RED CULTIVARS

FIGURE 11. Average yield red (bearing and non-bearing hectares) - industry average.



FIGURE 12. Influence of production on break-even of total production cost - industry average.

are locked into the value chain. This may differ in rationale from business to business and districts. In many cases the increased bargaining power with higher turnover are more common than the traditional cost saving effect on overheads. It should be well noted that many larger units actually produce wine grapes more costly than smaller very efficient units.

In the 2017 production year yields varied greatly among the different regions. The average production for bearing and

non-bearing grapevines for the 2017 production year was 17.68 ton/ha.

CULTIVAR STRUCTURE

During the 2014 production year a cultivar analysis was also conducted to indicate the production variance between the most planted white and red cultivars. This will assist producers with precision farming in the coming years by showing how the cultivars in their enterprise differ and



%

FIGURE 13. Age composition - industry average.



FIGURE 14. Profitability analysis (2013 - 2017) - industry average.



PROFITABILITY

FIGURE 15. Profitability - industry average.

may contribute to greater profitability. Net profit per block calculations are critical in drought conditions, ensuring the culling of non- and low profitable blocks, producers are advised not to base financial decisions on turnover or just yield, ensure proper financial management principles are used when determining profitability.

BREAK-EVEN

In most cases agricultural commodities experiencing cycles of over supply are under pressure of cost increases with stagnant income, the majority of grape producers were coping with rising input costs and decreasing grape prices (in real terms) by increasing yields.

This ensured that the break-even price did not increase substantually, it even decreased in 2012, however with rising costs and stable average yields we have seen a year on year increase of 6% to R2 687/ton.

In other words: the first R2 687 for a ton of grapes received by the producer during the 2017 harvest, should be applied for total production cost – no entrepreneurial remuneration, interest or tax has been taken into account yet.

The average yields differ considerably among the districts, as well as

among the various cultivars, while the production cost does not differ to the same extent. This gives rise to large differences in break-even price in terms of total production cost in the respective district and among the various cultivars.

Over the past 10 years it has been an obvious trend that producers attempt to increase average yields to counter the effect of rising costs, as well as to increase profitability, but the drought will make it very difficult in 2018.

PROFITABILITY

The profitability, in other words net farming income (NFI), is calculated as gross income (R/ton x ton/ha) minus total production cost. The latter consists of cash expenditure and provision for renewal, but excludes entrepreneurial remuneration, interest obligations and tax. The total income is calculated for a specific vintage and although the majority of producers realise their income at different stages over the financial year, depending on their business model, no time value of money is taken into account.

It is very positive to see how the gross income per hectare has increased in the last decade, predominantly driven by increasing yields, but in some cases to a less extent by rising grape prices. For the 2017 harvest the gross income amounted to R54 158 per hectare (the average for bearing- and nonbearing vineyards in total), a 6% increase year on year. The gross margin, i.e. the cash flow effect per hectare was R17 604, only 3% more than 2016, indicating the deminishing effect of rising input costs as the cost-prize squeeze is still hampering the wine grape growers.

After provision is made for renewal, a decrease of 1% in NFI of R6 644 per hectare was achieved.

As a guideline for economically sustainable production, the average income and NFI for the 2017 production year for a 40 hectare unit should in fact have realised R71 670 and R27 280 per hectare respectively, and for a 100 hectare unit R63 750/ha and R19 360/ha. This is seen as a minimum sustainable requirement, included additionally in this calculation is opportunity cost and entrepreneurial remuneration.

Unsustainable average gross income limits producers to implement sufficient capital replacement. Consequently grapevines, buildings and moveables are beginning to exceed accepted industry norms lifespans. Alternatively resources are allocated to crops with higher NFI.

> - For more information, contact Andries van Zyl at andries@vinpro.co.za or Pieter van Niekerk at pieter@vinpro.co.za.

SUMMARY

With global wine supply tightening and the increased pressure of the drought, many producers will remember 2017 as a game changer, it may be either positive or negative. With the ageing and decreasing vineyard status, a sure sign of a structural shift is imminent, as local supply adjusts to meet global and local demand.